Correlation between Expression of MVP, Index of p53 and AgNOR Value with Chemoradiotherapy Clinical Response of Cervical Cancer
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INTRODUCTION

Carcinoma cervix uterine is the second most common malignant tumor in women worldwide, with an estimated 493,000 new cases (83% occurring in developing countries) and 274,000 cancer-related deaths in the year 2002 [1]. In Indonesia, this is the most common cancer type and 70% of the patients came to hospital in locally advanced stage condition. The main treatment for this stage is radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy in concurrent chemoradiotherapy [2,3]. Establishing the prognosis of a patient with cervical cancer is an important part of the clinical evaluation and treatment. The most recognized prognostic factor in cervical cancer is the disease extension, usually estimated by the TNM/FIGO staging system (Tumor-Nodus-Metastasis / International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) [4-6]. However, within each clinical disease stage, biological markers are needed to clarify the identification of high risk patients who may benefit from individualized therapeutic options of carcinoma cervix uterine [7].

The vault is a barrel-shaped cytoplasmic riboprotein particle which is grouped into multiple copies of three proteins. The mammalian vault complex is made of major vault protein or lung resistance-related protein (MVP or LRP, M(r) = 100,000), vault poly ADP-ribose polymerase (VPARP, M(r) = 193,000) and telomerase associated protein 1 (TEP-1, M(r) = 240,000) which are associated with small untranslated RNA [8-11]. While vaults are found in all human tissues, elevated level of expression of MVP is found in gut epithelium, lung epithelium, macrophages and dendritic cells, which are all
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Cervical cancer is the most frequent cancer found in Indonesia. The primary treatment of cervical cancer at the locally advanced stage is usually performed by using radiotherapy and chemotherapy. The combination of the two techniques is often called chemoradiotherapy. The response to chemoradiotherapy is influenced by biological and physical factors. Major vault protein (MVP) is a ribonucleoprotein which contributes to drug resistance in some cancers. The purposes of this research were: (1) to determine the correlation between the expression of MVP and the index of p53, including AgNOR values and index of MIB-1; and (2) between MVP and chemoradiotherapy clinical response of cervical cancer. Twenty-one microscopic slides taken from biopsy tissues of cervical cancer patients before undergoing treatment were stained to identify MVP, p53, and MIB-1 by means of immunohistochemistry techniques and AgNORs staining. After undergoing chemoradiotherapy treatment, the patients’ clinical responses were observed by pelvic control method. Experimental results showed that there was a correlation between MVP and AgNOR value (P=0.05), but no correlation between MVP and index of p53 (P=0.729), including MIB-1 LI (P=0.63), in untreated cervical cancer. In addition, there was no association between MVP and chemoradiotherapy response. In conclusion, MVP expression correlates with the process of cell proliferation before the G2 phase of cell cycle in untreated cancer cells. Those have no association with clinical responses after the completion of treatment.
typically exposed to xenobiotics. This implies that
vulns may have a role in the defense of such tissue
against toxic insult, and they are found highly
expressed in various multidrug-resistant cancer cell
lines [12-14].

Growing cancers are often influenced by
increased genetic changes. Such genetic changes,
including chromosomal aberrations (translocations),
gene amplifications, intragenic mutations, and gene
silencing are responsible for the activation of
oncogenes and the inactivation of tumor-suppressor
genes. Exposure of cells to extreme conditions like
cancer cell hypoxia can promote genome alterations,
enhancing the progression potential of tumor cells
and resistance to oncological treatments. Hypoxia
may lead to conditions that cause increased damage
to DNA or inhibit DNA repair processes, impair
DNA and cause tumor progression by altering p53
expressions and increasing angiogenesis. Loss of
regulation of DNA repair pathways can influence the
phenomenon of hypoxia-induced genetic instability
within the tumor [15-18].

The MIB-1, also called Ki-67, is expressed in
all cell cycle stages except G0 and early G1 phases.
This antigen is thought to be associated with a
nuclear antigen protein-DNA replicase complex,
similar to DNA topoisomerase II [19]. Generally, a
higher MIB-1 labeling index (MIB-LI / MIB-1 LI) correlates with worse prognosis; however, tumors
with higher MIB-1 LI are often radiosensitive [20].

Nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) are
chromosomal loops of DNA involved in ribosomal
synthesis. The silver staining technique can easily
detect NORs in formalin fixation, and NORs can be
identified as black dots in the nucleolus (AgNORs).
This method permits the rapid evaluation of
morphology and tumor cell kinetics even using
small biopsies. Evaluation of AgNOR parameters
(number, size, and distribution) has been applied in
tumor pathology both for diagnostic and prognostic
purposes [21, 22].

In the last few years a considerable number of
studies have shown correlation between biomarkers
of cell proliferation - such as index of p53, index of
MIB-1, and AgNORs - and chemoradiotherapy or
radiotherapy clinical responses [20,23,24]. While
there a positive correlation between AgNORs
and MIB-1, AgNOR value tends to decrease
whereas the index of MIB-1 increases if cervical
cancer is treated specially for one week with
chemoradiotherapy [24].

The aim of the present study was to assess (1)
the correlation between the expression of the MVP
in chemoradiotherapy untreated cervical cancer and
AgNOR values, including also index of MIB-1 and
index of p53, and (2) the correlation between MVP
and clinical response of cervical cancer to
chemoradiotherapy.

**EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

**Patients**

From July 2010 to March 2011, 21
consecutive patients were enrolled and studied in
this work. Their data is summarized in Table 1. Those patients were taken from a whole series of
60 cases who were suffering from non-metastatic
localized cervical carcinoma in stage IIB-IIIB.
Among those 60 patients, the 21 patients studied
were the ones who have achieved complete response
to treatment. All patients were diagnosed and treated
by definitive radiation at the Cipto Mangunkusumo
Hospital and Hasan Sadikin Hospital and received
written informed consent. The study was approved
by the Health Research Ethics Committee of the
Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Clinical Stage</th>
<th>MVP Expression</th>
<th>Index of p53</th>
<th>MIB-LI</th>
<th>AgNORs Value</th>
<th>Chemoradiotherapy Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Q</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: w = weak, m = medium s = strong

The clinical staging of the patients was performed
through speculscopy, bimanual examination, and
cystoscopy or rectoscopy when abdomen pelvic CT
scans and chest X-rays were performed. The
histological grading was based on the guidance
issued by the Union for International Cancer
Control [3] which defined the following grades: G1,
well differentiated; G2, moderately differentiated;
and G3, poorly differentiated or undifferentiated.
All patients were identified as having squamous cell
carcinoma tumors, i.e., 14 patients in stage IIB, 1 patient in stage IIIA and 6 patients in stage IIIB, respectively.

Treatment

Patients were treated by means of a combination of External Beam Radiotherapy (EBRT) with $^{60}$Co gamma rays and High Dose-Rate Intracavitary Brachytherapy (HDR-ICBT) using $^{192}$Ir, EBRT was subjected to the whole pelvis with a clinical target volume that included the primary cancer, uterus, internal iliac, presacral, upper external iliac, and lower common iliac lymph nodes. Chemotherapy was administered concurrently. Cisplatin was given before EBRT within two hours or less before treatment [25-27].

Clinical radiotherapy response

Radiation responses were evaluated by radiotherapist in the Department of Radiotherapy, Cipto Mangunkusumo or Hasan Sadikin Hospital, and the responses are grouped according to Hong Criteria [28] as follows: (i) NRT (no gross residual tumor) response; complete or nearly complete regression of pelvic tumor, nonspecific fibrosis, or granulation over the cervix. This is called good response. (ii) GT (gross residual tumor) response: gross tumor or palpable nodularity on cervix, and/or palpable in duration on the parametrium. This is called bad response.

Immunohistochemistry

Expressions of MVP, p53, and MIB-1 were examined by immunohistochemistry. In brief, the steps were as follows: paraffin-embedded tumor tissue biopsies were first incubated with mouse anti-MVP monoclonal antibody (LRP/MVP Ab-2, Clon 1032, Abcam, CA); then applied at a 1:100 dilution, anti p53 monoclonal antibody (Leica, Novocastra, ready-to-use p53-D07); the biopsies were then incubated overnight at 5°C, in a moist oven; followed with post primary, post protein and Novolink HRP system (Novolink) and revealed with DAB (Novolink) and counterstained with Mayer Hematoxylin. The primary antibody was omitted in one section as a negative control and a strongly positive tumor for MVP was used as a positive control. Expressions of MVP in cell cytoplasm and membrane was observed in zones of maximum expression of the marker in at least 10 high power fields (400x) and semi quantitatively scored as low (negative/slightly positive) or high (strongly positive) [29,30]. Staining for p53, observed in the nuclei, was scored as percentage of stained cells [24]. Up to 1000 cells were counted in each slide of patient.

AgNOR staining

AgNOR staining technique was performed in accordance with the technique described by Ploton et al. [31,32]. Tissue sections were cut at 4 μm thickness from formalin fixed, paraffin-wax embedded blocks. The sections were dewaxed in xylene and then hydrated through decreasing grades of ethanol followed by washing in deionized water for 8–10 minutes. The staining solution was prepared by dissolution of powdered gelatin with concentration of 2% w/v in deionized water over water bath at 60–70°C. Pure formic acid was added to final concentration of 1%. This solution was mixed 1:2 (v/v) with 50% aqueous silver nitrate solution, then filtered through a 0.22 mm Millipore filter, and dropped onto the slide-mounted section. The sections were incubated in the dark for 40–45 minutes at room temperature. After rinsing three times with deionized water, the slides were immersed for 10 minutes in 5% sodium thiosulphate solution, dehydrated in ascending ethanol concentrations, cleared with xylene, and mounted. According to the recommendations of Crocker et al. [32], dots lying in a group or cluster (almost aggregated or partly disaggregated) were treated as one structure, whereas if AgNORs could been seen separately they were considered as individual AgNORs [33].

Statistical analysis

AgNOR values, indices of p53, and indices of MIB-1 were analyzed by using Kolmogorov test for categorized normal distribution. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the correlation between the expression level of MVP in cancer with biomarkers of cell proliferation, i.e., AgNOR value, index of p53, and index of MIB-1. Fisher’s Exact Test was used to analyses association between MVP expression and chemoradiotherapy clinical response. All statistical analyses were performed using Medcalc Software Version 9.2.0.1.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All immunohistochemical markers and AgNOR staining were obtained in all 21 microscopic slide from 21 cases (Table 1). It appears that the expression of MVP in cancer cell cytoplasm was shown as brown color (Fig. 1a,b,c). Based on MVP expressions, Table 1 classifies patients into two groups. Group 1 consists of 5 patients (18.5%) who have low MVP expression, while the 16 patients (81.5%) with strong or medium MVP expression are categorized as Group 2. Table 1 also indicates that 4 of 14 patient (29 percent) in clinical stage IIB show low expression of MVP, while in IIIA and IIIB stages, the same low expression is indicated by 1 of 7 patients (14%). In the same table, it appears that the AgNOR value in stage IIB is 5.69 while in stages IIIA and IIIB it is 5.38. The expression of p53 protein in nuclei as brown color is shown in Fig. 2a and indices of p53 with the values varying from 13% to 67% (mean 41%) is presented in Table 1.

AgNORs in nuclei result in a dot and group of dots with black color (see Fig. 2b), and MIB-1 expression in nucleus as brown color one is depicted in Fig. 2c. AgNOR scores varied from 4.24 to 8.65 (mean 5.59 ± 1.09) and MIB-1 indices varied from 0.21–0.68 ± 0.13 (see Table 1). We observed statistical correlation between the expression of MVP and AgNORs, P = 0.05 (see Fig. 3b). There was no statistical correlation between expression of MVP and the index of p53 with P = 0.72>0.05 (see Fig. 3a), and between MVP expression and MIB-1 index with P=0.63 (see Fig. 3c). Further, there was no correlation between MVP expression and chemoradiotherapy clinical response, as P = 0.28>0.05 (see Fig. 3d).

Theoretically, any relationship between MVP and AgNORs may be associated with degradation of nucleolin as part protein of AgNORs in preparing proliferation processes in the S phase before a cell enters the mitotic phase. An increase in AgNORs volume would indicate transcriptional activation of inactive NORs, and a reduction in number of...
AgNORs in nucleus may indicate association processes. However, the decreases of the number of AgNORs and increases in its volume could not be explained by association processes alone, but it also results from an absolute rise in transcriptional activity [22,24]. In contrast to AgNOR values, MIB-1 indices were found in all phases of cell cycle except in G0 phase (phase before entering into mitosis) [20], but it had no correlation with MVP expressions. This means that the expression of MVP is not related with the cancer cell proliferation process.

The same is indicated by the expression of p53 that is not correlated with MVP. The p53 is a protein expressed in the tumor suppressor gene; it functions in cell cycle arrest if any DNA damage is found, and in initiating apoptosis. In this way, protein p53 initiates the formation of protein Bax, and also has a role in preventing apoptosis from inducing the activation of Bcl-2 protein [17,18]. The poor prognosis after radiotherapy is related with the failure of the processes of apoptosis and hypoxia. MVP and vaults have recently been linked to both DNA double-strand break repair machineries, namely Ku70 and Ku80. Those two proteins are key proteins in non-homologue end joining, and also play a strong regulatory role in apoptosis through Bax/Bcl-2 interactions [29,30].

Our experimental results show that there is sufficient evidence to infer that the expression of MVP is not directly correlated with the proliferation of the cell. Higher percentages of high expression MVP in IIIA and IIIB than in IIB are probably related with potential metastasis and ability of cancer cell in preventing apoptosis as a part of prognosis.

There is no correlation between MVP and radiation response after treatment completed. It is different with some reports that high expression of MVP will correlate with poor prognosis after radiotherapy [29,30]. This differing result is possibly due to some factors which also contribute to chemoradiotherapy response. Probably all patients included in this research were without hypoxic condition. Hypoxic condition was related to high expressions of major vault protein in cervical cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy [16,34].

This study actually has limitations, since the duration of observation of chemoradiotherapy clinical response was only three months after the treatment, and the response was only observed through pelvic control method. As high expressions of MVP tend to be found in higher clinical stages than in lower ones, we think that it will be influenced by the responses long time after treatment. It is suggested that in the next study, the response is observed for more than three months and also to use quantitative method such as computed tomography scan or magnetic resonance imaging.

CONCLUSION

High expressions of MVP are related to AgNOR degradation in S phase of cell cycle. No relationship was found between MVP and both of protein p53 and MIB-1. Expression of MVP is not associated with early clinical response of chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer and only tends to be associated with the clinical stage of the patient.
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